



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/635,433 | 08/10/2000 | Mark C. Noe | PC10491A | 6255 |
| 7 | 590 09/23/2002 | | | |
| Paul H Ginsburg | | | EXAMINER | |
| Pfizer Inc 235 East 42nd Street 20th Floor | | | MCKENZIE, THOMAS C | |
| New York, NY | 10017-5755 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | 111 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002 | (9 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 09/635,433 | NOE ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Thomas McKenzie Ph.D. | 1624 |

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

| inal rej | ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued action (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| | The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| b) 📙 | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| ee have ee under 2) as set | insions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension as 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or the form of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are corresponding amount of the fee. |
| | A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 August 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 17 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2.⊠ T | he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) | ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: |
| 3.□ A | pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| | lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| | he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| | or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| Т | he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| (| Claim(s) allowed: |
| (| Claim(s) objected to: |
| (| Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-20</u> . |
| (| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-15 and 21-23</u> . |
| 8. 🗌 T | he proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9.□ N | lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| in \Box | and the first of t |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: _

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLEMAXE THE TAN ABOSINHED IN

MUKUND J. SHAH

GROUP 1800

GROUP 1200

MAHS I CHAH